STATE

GOVERNOR **Vote for One**	**GAVIN NEWSOM** Lieutenant Governor/Businessman	Party Preference: Democratic	**8**➡ ○
	JOHN H. COX Businessman/Taxpayer Advocate	Party Preference: Republican	**9**➡ ●

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR **Vote for One**	**ELENI KOUNALAKIS** Businesswoman/Economic Advisor	Party Preference: Democratic	**11**➡ ●
	ED HERNANDEZ State Senator/Businessman	Party Preference: Democratic	**12**➡ ○

SECRETARY OF STATE **Vote for One**	**MARK P. MEUSER** Election Law Attorney	Party Preference: Republican	**14**➡ ●
	ALEX PADILLA Secretary of State	Party Preference: Democratic	**15**➡ ○

CONTROLLER **Vote for One**	**BETTY T. YEE** California State Controller	Party Preference: Democratic	**17**➡ ○
	KONSTANTINOS RODITIS Businessman/CFO	Party Preference: Republican	**18**➡ ●

TREASURER **Vote for One**	**FIONA MA** CPA/Taxpayer Representative	Party Preference: Democratic	**20**➡ ○
	GREG CONLON Businessman/CPA	Party Preference: Republican	**21**➡ ●

ATTORNEY GENERAL **Vote for One**	**XAVIER BECERRA** Appointed Attorney General of the State of California	Party Preference: Democratic	**23**➡ ○
	STEVEN C BAILEY Retired California Judge	Party Preference: Republican	**24**➡ ●

PLEASE NOTE: The order in which candidates' names appear on the ballot is determined by a random drawing of 26 letters of the alphabet. Additionally, candidates for federal, most state and some local offices change positions, or "rotate". This prevents a specific candidate's name from always appearing first, or last, on all ballots.

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE

STATE

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER **Vote for One**	**STEVE POIZNER** Businessman/Non-Profit Director	Party Preference: None	**28**➡●
	RICARDO LARA California Senator	Party Preference: Democratic	**29**➡○

MEMBER STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION **1st District** **Vote for One**	**TOM HALLINAN** College Trustee/Businessman	Party Preference: Democratic	**31**➡○
	TED GAINES Taxpayer Advocate/Senator	Party Preference: Republican	**32**➡●

UNITED STATES SENATOR

Vote for One	**KEVIN DE LEON** California Senator	Party Preference: Democratic	**36**➡○
	DIANNE FEINSTEIN United States Senator	Party Preference: Democratic	**37**➡●

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE

25th District **Vote for One**	**STEVE KNIGHT** Member of Congress	Party Preference: Republican	**40**➡●
	KATIE HILL Homelessness Nonprofit Executive	Party Preference: Democratic	**41**➡○

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY

38th District **Vote for One**	**DANTE ACOSTA** Member, State Assembly	Party Preference: Republican	**44**➡●
	CHRISTY SMITH School Board Member	Party Preference: Democratic	**45**➡○

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

JUDICIAL - SUPREME COURT JUSTICE

VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE

For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court Shall Associate Justice **CAROL A. CORRIGAN** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	62	**YES** ➡	●
	63	**NO** ➡	○

For Associate Justice of the Supreme Court Shall Associate Justice **LEONDRA R. KRUGER** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	65	**YES** ➡	○
	66	**NO** ➡	●

JUDICIAL - COURT OF APPEAL JUSTICE

VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One Shall Associate Justice **VICTORIA G. CHANEY** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	69	**YES** ➡	●
	70	**NO** ➡	○

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division One Shall Associate Justice **HELEN BENDIX** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	72	**YES** ➡	●
	73	**NO** ➡	○

For Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two Shall Presiding Justice **ELWOOD LUI** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	75	**YES** ➡	○
	76	**NO** ➡	●

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

JUDICIAL - COURT OF APPEAL JUSTICE

VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Two Shall Associate Justice **VICTORIA M. CHAVEZ** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	80	**YES** ➡	●
	81	**NO** ➡	○

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Shall Associate Justice **LUIS A. LAVIN** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**83**	**YES** ➡	○
	84	**NO** ➡	●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Shall Associate Justice **HALIM DHANIDINA** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**86**	**YES** ➡	○
	87	**NO** ➡	●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Three Shall Associate Justice **ANNE H. EGERTON** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**89**	**YES** ➡	○
	90	**NO** ➡	●

For Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four Shall Presiding Justice **NORA M. MANELLA** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**92**	**YES** ➡	●
	93	**NO** ➡	○

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Four Shall Associate Justice **THOMAS WILLHITE** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**95**	**YES** ➡	●
	96	**NO** ➡	○

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five Shall Associate Justice **DOROTHY C. KIM** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**98**	**YES** ➡	○
	99	**NO** ➡	●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five Shall Associate Justice **CARL H. MOOR** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	**101**	**YES** ➡	○
	102	**NO** ➡	●

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

JUDICIAL - COURT OF APPEAL JUSTICE

VOTE YES OR NO FOR EACH OFFICE

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five Shall Associate Justice **LAMAR W. BAKER** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	106	YES	➡○
	107	NO	➡●

For Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six Shall Presiding Justice **ARTHUR GILBERT** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	109	YES	➡○
	110	NO	➡●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six Shall Associate Justice **MARTIN J. TANGEMAN** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	112	YES	➡○
	113	NO	➡●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven Shall Associate Justice **GAIL R. FEUER** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	115	YES	➡○
	116	NO	➡●

For Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven Shall Associate Justice **JOHN L. SEGAL** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	118	YES	➡○
	119	NO	➡●

For Presiding Justice, Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Eight Shall Presiding Justice **TRICIA A. BIGELOW** be elected to the office for the term provided by law?	121	YES	➡●
	122	NO	➡○

JUDICIAL

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Office No. 4 **Vote for One**	**A. VERÓNICA SAUCEDA** Superior Court Commissioner, County of Los Angeles	125➡○
	ALFRED A. COLETTA Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	126➡●

Office No. 16 **Vote for One**	**SYDNE JANE MICHEL** Senior Deputy City Prosecutor, City of Redondo Beach	128➡●
	PATRICIA (PATTI) HUNTER Deputy City Attorney, City of Los Angeles	129➡○

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

JUDICIAL

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Office No. 60 **Vote for One**	**HOLLY L. HANCOCK** Attorney at Law	132➡	○
	TONY J. CHO Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	133➡	●

Office No. 113 **Vote for One**	**JAVIER PEREZ** Deputy District Attorney, County of Los Angeles	135➡	○
	MICHAEL P. RIBONS Lawyer	136➡	○

SCHOOL

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION **Vote for One**	**TONY K. THURMOND** Educator/State Legislator	140➡	○
	MARSHALL TUCK Schools Improvement Director	141➡	●

COUNTY

ASSESSOR **Vote for One**	**JEFFREY PRANG** Los Angeles County Assessor	145➡	○
	JOHN "LOWER TAXES" LOEW Los Angeles County Deputy Assessor	146➡	●

SHERIFF **Vote for One**	**JIM MCDONNELL** Sheriff	148➡	●
	ALEX VILLANUEVA Sheriff's Lieutenant, Los Angeles County	149➡	○

STATE MEASURES

1 **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND SPECIFIED HOUSING ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $4 billion in general obligation bonds for existing affordable housing programs for low-income residents, veterans, farmworkers, manufactured and mobile homes, infill, and transit-oriented housing. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $170 million annually over the next 35 years.

153 YES ➡ ○
154 NO ➡ ●

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

STATE MEASURES

2 **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND EXISTING HOUSING PROGRAM FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Amends Mental Health Services Act to fund No Place Like Home Program, which finances housing for individuals with mental illness. Ratifies existing law establishing the No Place Like Home Program. Fiscal Impact: Allows the state to use up to $140 million per year of county mental health funds to repay up to $2 billion in bonds. These bonds would fund housing for those with mental illness who are homeless.

158 YES ➡ ○
159 NO ➡ ●

3 **AUTHORIZES BONDS TO FUND PROJECTS FOR WATER SUPPLY AND QUALITY, WATERSHED, FISH, WILDLIFE, WATER CONVEYANCE, AND GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AND STORAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $8.877 billion in state general obligation bonds for various infrastructure projects. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging $430 million per year over 40 years. Local government savings for water-related projects, likely averaging a couple hundred million dollars annually over the next few decades.

163 YES ➡ ○
164 NO ➡ ●

4 **AUTHORIZES BONDS FUNDING CONSTRUCTION AT HOSPITALS PROVIDING CHILDREN'S HEALTH CARE. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Authorizes $1.5 billion in bonds, to be repaid from state's General Fund, to fund grants for construction, expansion, renovation, and equipping of qualifying children's hospitals. Fiscal Impact: Increased state costs to repay bonds averaging about $80 million annually over the next 35 years.

168 YES ➡ ○
169 NO ➡ ●

5 **CHANGES REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PROPERTY OWNERS TO TRANSFER THEIR PROPERTY TAX BASE TO REPLACEMENT PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE.** Removes certain transfer requirements for homeowners over 55, severely disabled homeowners, and contaminated or disaster-destroyed property. Fiscal Impact: Schools and local governments each would lose over $100 million in annual property taxes early on, growing to about $1 billion per year. Similar increase in state costs to backfill school property tax losses.

172 YES ➡ ●
173 NO ➡ ○

6 **ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.** Repeals a 2017 transportation law's taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of $5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs.

177 YES ➡ ●
178 NO ➡ ○

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

STATE MEASURES

7 **CONFORMS CALIFORNIA DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME TO FEDERAL LAW. ALLOWS LEGISLATURE TO CHANGE DAYLIGHT SAVING TIME PERIOD. LEGISLATIVE STATUTE.** Gives Legislature ability to change daylight saving time period by two-thirds vote, if changes are consistent with federal law. Fiscal Impact: This measure has no direct fiscal effect because changes to daylight saving time would depend on future actions by the Legislature and potentially the federal government.

184 YES ➡ ●
185 NO ➡ ○

8 **REGULATES AMOUNTS OUTPATIENT KIDNEY DIALYSIS CLINICS CHARGE FOR DIALYSIS TREATMENT. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Requires rebates and penalties if charges exceed limit. Requires annual reporting to the state. Prohibits clinics from refusing to treat patients based on payment source. Fiscal Impact: Overall annual effect on state and local governments ranging from net positive impact in the low tens of millions of dollars to net negative impact in the tens of millions of dollars.

188 YES ➡ ○
189 NO ➡ ●

9 **Proposition 9 was removed from the ballot by order of the California Supreme Court.**

10 **EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more.

196 YES ➡ ○
197 NO ➡ ●

11 **REQUIRES PRIVATE-SECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE EMPLOYEES TO REMAIN ON-CALL DURING WORK BREAKS. ELIMINATES CERTAIN EMPLOYER LIABILITY. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Law entitling hourly employees to breaks without being on-call would not apply to private-sector ambulance employees. Fiscal Impact: Likely fiscal benefit to local governments (in the form of lower costs and higher revenues), potentially in the tens of millions of dollars each year.

200	YES ➡	●
201	NO ➡	○

12 **ESTABLISHES NEW STANDARDS FOR CONFINEMENT OF SPECIFIED FARM ANIMALS; BANS SALE OF NONCOMPLYING PRODUCTS. INITIATIVE STATUTE.** Establishes minimum requirements for confining certain farm animals. Prohibits sales of meat and egg products from animals confined in noncomplying manner. Fiscal Impact: Potential decrease in state income tax revenues from farm businesses, likely not more than several million dollars annually. State costs up to $10 million annually to enforce the measure.

204	YES ➡	○
205	NO ➡	●

CONTINUE VOTING ON NEXT PAGE ☞

COUNTY MEASURE

LOS ANGELES COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

W **Los Angeles Region's Public Health and Safe, Clean Water Program.** Shall an ordinance improving/protecting water quality; capturing rain/stormwater to increase safe drinking water supplies and prepare for future drought; protecting public health and marine life by reducing pollution, trash, toxins/plastics entering Los Angeles County waterways/bays/beaches; establishing a parcel tax of 2.5¢ per square foot of impermeable area, exempting low-income seniors, raising approximately $300,000,000 annually until ended by voters, requiring independent audits, oversight and local control be adopted?

210	YES ➡	○
211	NO ➡	●

A friends wife. The GOP supports Mac Gregor

SCHOOL

SANTA CLARITA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

Governing Board Member, Trustee Area No. 5 Vote for One	**JOAN WHALING MAC GREGOR** Santa Clarita Community College Trustee	217 ➡ ○
	ANN-MARIE BJORKMAN Non-Profit Organization President	218 ➡ ●

CITY

SANTA CLARITA CITY GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

Member of the City Council **Vote for no more than Three**	**KEN DEAN** Teacher/Educator	236 ➡	○
	MATTHEW J. HARGETT Emergency Medical Technician	237 ➡	○
	BRETT HADDOCK Software Engineer	238 ➡	○
	SANKALP B. VARMA Driver	239 ➡	○
	SANDRA L. NICHOLS Retired	240 ➡	○
	DIANE TRAUTMAN Non-profit Organization Leader	241 ➡	○
	LAURENE WESTE Mayor/Santa Clarita Councilmember	242 ➡	●
	SEAN WEBER Santa Clarita Businessperson	243 ➡	○
	PAUL J. WIECZOREK Postal Carrier	244 ➡	○
	MARSHA MCLEAN Santa Clarita Councilwoman/Businessowner	245 ➡	●
	BILL MIRANDA Appointed Councilman/Businessowner	246 ➡	●
	CHERRY E. ORTEGA Businessperson/Investor/Entrepreneur	247 ➡	○
	LOGAN SMITH Non-profit Organizer	248 ➡	○
	JASON GIBBS Senior Project Manager	249 ➡	○
	TIMBEN BOYDSTON Executive Director	250 ➡	○

END OF BALLOT